Screening Checklist For all Premarket Notification 510(k) Submissions

Device Name: K							
Submitter (Company):							
Items which should be included (circle missing & needed information)	S P E C I A L		A B B R E V I A T E D		T R A D I T I O N A L		IF ITEM IS NEEDED
Cover Letter clearly identifies Submission as: a) "Special 510(k): Device Modification" b) "Abbreviated 510(k)" c) Traditional 510(k)	Cover Letter clearly identifies Submission as: "Special 510(k): Device Modification" "Abbreviated 510(k)"					AND IS MISSING	
							'E ITEM IS
2. GENERAL INFORMATION: REQUIRED IN ALL 510(K) SU Financial Certification or Disclosure Statement for 510(k)s with a Clinical Study 807.87(i)				TIONAL NO	IF ITEM IS NEEDED AND IS MISSING		
a) trade name, classification name, establishment registration	IEU	NO	IES	NC	ILG	NO	MISSING
number, device class b) OR a statement that the device is not yet classified			a classif	fication	reques	st; see o	coordinator
c) identification of legally marketed equivalent deviced) compliance with Section 514 - performance standards	N.	IA IA	 				
e) address of manufacturer	14	_					
f) Truthful and Accurate Statement							
g) Indications for Use enclosure							
h) SMDA Summary or Statement (FOR ALL DEVICE CLASSES)							
i) Class III Certification & Summary (FOR ALL CLASS III DEVICES)	\vdash						
 j) Description of device (or modification) including diagrams, engineering drawings, photographs, service manuals 							
k) Proposed Labeling:	<u> </u> '						
i) package labeling (user info)	<u> </u>						
ii) statement of intended use iii) advertisements or promotional materials							
i) MRI compatibility (if claimed)							
I) Comparison Information (similarities and differences) to named legally marketed equivalent device (table preferred) should include:							
i) Labeling	<u> </u> '						
ii) intended use iii) physical characteristics	<u> </u>						
iv) anatomical sites of use	 						
v) performance (bench, animal, clinical) testing	N	IA					
vi) safety characteristics		IA					
m) If kit, kit certification	<u> </u>						
3. "SPECIALS" - ONLY FOR MODIFICATIONS TO MANUFACTURER'S C	OWN CL	ASS II	, III OR F	RESER	VED CL	ASS I C	DEVICE
a) Name & 510(k) number of legally marketed	['			_	_	_	
(unmodified) predicate device	· '						

DCRD form 102 (rev. 04/13/98 4:19 PM) Page 1

b)	STATEMENT - INTENDED USE AND INDICATIONS FOR USE OF MODIFIED DEVICE AS DESCRIBED IN ITS LABELING HAVE NOT CHANGED*		* If no - STOP not a special	
c)	STATEMENT - FUNDAMENTAL SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY OF THE MODIFIED DEVICE HAS NOT CHANGED*		* If no - STOP not a special	
d)	Design Control Activities Summary			
	 i) Identification of Risk Analysis method(s) used to assess the impact of the modification on the device and its components, and the results of the analysis 			
	ii) Based on the Risk Analysis, an identification of the verification and/or validation activities required, including methods or tests used and acceptance criteria to be applied			
	iii) A declaration of conformity with design controls. The declaration of conformity should include:			
	A statement signed by the individual responsible, that, as required by the risk analysis, all verification and validation activities were performed by the designated individual(s) and the results demonstrated that the predetermined acceptance criteria were met			
	 A statement signed by the individual responsible, that manufacturing facility is in conformance with design control procedure Requirements as specified in 21 CFR 820.30 and the records are available for review. 			

		SPEC	CIALS	ABBRE	VIATED	TRADIT	TIONAL	IF ITEM IS NEEDED
		YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	AND IS MISSING
4.	ABBREVIATED 510(K): SPECIAL CONTROLS/CONFORMAI FILL OUT THE STANDARDS ABBREVIATED FORM ON T				ED STA	NDAR	DS - P	LEASE
a)	For a submission, which relies on a guidance document and/or special control(s), a summary report that describes how the guidance and/or special control(s) was used to address the risks associated with the particular device type							
b)	If a manufacturer elects to use an alternate approach to address a particular risk, sufficient detail should be provided to justify that approach.							
c)	For a submission, which relies on a recognized standard, a declaration of conformity to the standard. The declaration should include the following: i) An identification of the applicable recognized							
	An identification of the applicable recognized consensus standards that were met							

DCRD form 102 (rev. 04/13/98 4:19 PM) Page 2

		_	 	
	ii) A specification, for each consensus standard, that all requirements were met, except for inapplicable requirements or deviations noted below			
	iii) An identification, for each consensus standard, of any way(s) in which the standard may have been adapted for application to the device under review, e.g., an identification of an alternative series of tests that were performed			
	iv) An identification, for each consensus standard, of any requirements that were not applicable to the device			
	A specification of any deviations from each applicable standard that were applied			
	vi) A specification of the differences that may exist, if any, between the tested device and the device to be marketed and a justification of the test results in these areas of difference			
	vii) Name/address of test laboratory/certification body involved in determining the conformance of the device with applicable consensus standards and a reference to any accreditations for those organizations			
d)	Data/information to address issues not covered by guidance documents, special controls, and/or recognized standards			

5.	Additional Considerations: (may be covered by Design Controls)								
a)	Biocompatibility data for all patient-contacting materials,								
	OR certification of identical material/formulation:								
	i) component & material								
	ii) identify patient-contacting materials								
	iii) biocompatibility of final sterilized product								
b)	Sterilization and expiration dating information:								
	i) sterilization method								
	ii) SAL								
	iii) packaging								
	iv) specify pyrogen free								
	v) ETO residues								
	vi) radiation dose								
c)	Software validation & verification:								
	i) hazard analysis								
	ii) level of concern								
	iii) development documentation								
	iv) certification								

Items shaded under "NO" are necessary for that type of submission. Circled items and items with checks in the "Needed & Missing" column must be submitted before acceptance of the document.

Passed Screening	Yes	No	Reviewer:
Date:			Concurrence by Review Branch:
			•

Page 3